

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST-NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFRMATION NO.
10/023,769	12/21/2001	Hiroko Murakami	1076.1023D2	4629
21171 75	. 09/19/2002			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			HOLDER, REGINA NEAL	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2651	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

at\

\	\
$\sqrt{2}$,)
ムノ	6/
_	

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/023,769	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Regina N. Holder	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 L	<u> December 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/023,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

1. The pre-amendment filed 12/21/01 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear in line 6 of claim 28 which recites "capable of amplifying" whether the amplifier amplifies the offset cancel voltage by a second amplification factor or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 25, 26, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gusmano et al (5,519,441).

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claims 25 and 26, Gusmano et al teaches a circuit suitable for canceling an offset voltage of and ADC (2) comprising a comparator (33), an arithmetic unit (32), and an offset voltage generator (31). See fig. 4. Gusnmano et al also teachings a signal processor for receiving data information. See col. 7 lines 15-20.

Regarding claim 33, Gusmano et al teaches a method of canceling an offset voltage of an ADC comprising the steps of detecting the offset voltage of the ADC and generating an offset cancel voltage signal. See col. 8 lines 6-63.

Regarding claim 34, Gusmano et al teaches comparing, accumulating the offset change, stopping accumulating to determine an accumulated offset amount, and generating an offset cancel voltage. See col. 8 lines 6-63.

6. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ziperovich (5,886,842).

Regarding claims 29 and 30, Ziperovich teaches a circuit for canceling an offset voltage of an ADC (26) comprising a sampling circuit (quantizing samples xn, xn-1, xn-2, xn-3), an arithmetic unit for receiving the digital values and computing an average (col. 9 lines 25-34), and an offset voltage generator (42, 34). See figs. 1 and 5 and col. 9 lines 21-45. Ziperovich also teaches a signal processor for receiving an analog data signal and recording medium (fig. 1) and offset cancel circuit (fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2651

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gusmano et al (5,519,441) in view of Satoh et al (5,818,655).

Regarding claim 27, Gusmano et al teaches a signal processor for receiving a data signal and recording medium, data information processing circuit, an ADC (2), offset cancel circuit comprising a comparator (33), an arithmetic unit (32), and an offset voltage generator (31). See figs. 4 and col. 8 lines 6-63. However, Gusmano et al does not specifically recite servo information signal, a servo information signal processing circuit, or a switch circuit and control circuit.

Satoh et al teaches a signal processing circuit for data information and servo information, data information processing circuit (3) and servo information processing circuit (5), an ADC, (20) a switch and control circuit (5), an offset voltage generator (62) for supplying the offset cancel voltage to the ADC. See fig. 1. The switch and control circuit are implied because the servo data is not processed simultaneously or through the ADC. Hence, there must be some means, which switches from processing data information to servo information.

8. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ziperovich in view of Satoh et al (5,818,655).

Ziperovich teaches a signal processor for receiving a data signal and recording medium, data information processing circuit, an ADC (26), offset cancel circuit comprising a sampling circuit (quantizing samples xn, xn-1, xn-2, xn-3), an arithmetic unit for receiving the digital values and computing an average (col. 9 lines 25-34), and an offset voltage generator (42, 34).

Art Unit: 2651

See figs. 1 and 5 and col. 9 lines 21-45. However, Ziperovich does not specifically recite servo information signal, a servo information signal processing circuit, or a switch circuit.

Satoh et al teaches a signal processing circuit for data information and servo information, data information processing circuit (3) and servo information processing circuit (5), an ADC, (20) a switch, an offset voltage generator (62) for supplying the offset cancel voltage to the ADC. See fig. 1. The switch is implied because the servo data is not processed simultaneously or through the ADC.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ziperovich to include the servo information teachings of Satoh et al, motivation being to control the head seek and disk rotation as set forth in col. 13 lines 6-11 of Satoh et al.

Regarding claim 32, preamble patterns are well known in the art of signal processing.

Preamble patterns are recorded at the beginning of data signals and utilized for controlling/adapting the signal processing. Hence, official notice is taken thereof.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina N. Holder whose telephone number is (703) 308-4078. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Art Unit: 2651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Regina N. Holder Primary Examiner Art Unit 2651

Page 6

rnh

September 17, 2002